FTS-24297/2011 Pays 1 7 5

F.No.A-32011/11/2010-Ad.II Government of India Ministry of Corporate Affairs

New Delbi, dated the 15.12.2011

### Office Memorandum

Subject: Approval of the decisions taken in the DPC Meeting held in RD Chennai on 21-8-2011 for promotions/MACPS/ACP

The undersigned is directed to refer to the Minutes (in 3 parts) of the DPC Meeting forwarded by RD Chennai vide F.No. 1(76)/2011-DPC dated 17-11-2011 and to convey the approval/decision of the competent authority in MCA for promotions in existing/future/consequential vacancies and ACP/MACPS benefits, as below:

## (a) Regular promotion from Steno Grade II to Steno Grade I

(see Para 1.6, 1.7 of Minutes Part I):

### Main Panel

SL.	Name of Steno Gr II	DPC Recommendations	Decision of competent authority
1	Mrs. N Suyam Prabha	Fit for promotion to Steno Grade I	Approved for promotion.
2	Mrs. T Pavitra	Fit for promotion to Steno Grade 1	Approved for promotion.

#### **Extended Panel**

SL.	Name of Steno Gr II	DPC Recommendations	Decision of competent authority
1	Mr. J Shabeer Ahmed		

18/2/11

Page 2 of 5

## (b) Regular promotion from Assistant to Office Superintendent (OS)

(see para 2.6 of Minutes Part I):

#### Main Panel

Name of Assistant	DPC	Decision of competent
	Recommendations	authority
Mr. AK Vasu	,	Approved for promotion.
,		Recommendations

## (c) Regular promotion from UDC/Steno Grade III to Assistant

(see Para 3.8 and Para 3.9 of Minutes Part I):

### Main Panel

SL.	Name of UDC	DPC Recommendations	Decision of competent authority
1	Mrs. T J Elicida	Fit for promotion to Assistant.	Approved for promotion.
2	Mrs. Sumanti KSM	Fit for promotion to Assistant.	Approved for promotion

### Extended Panel

SL.	Name of UDC	DPC Recommendations	Decision of competent authority
1	Ms. C Lalitha	Fit for promotion to Assistant, but may be promoted if officers senior to her refuse promotion.	case of refusal of officers
2	Mr. M S Radhakrishnan	Assistant, but may be	Approved for promotion in case of refusal of officers senior to him.
3	Mr. D Sivaprasad	Fit for promotion to Assistant, but may be promoted if officers senior to him refuse promotion.	case of refusal of officers

Ho

Poge 3 87 5

## (d) Regular promotions from UDC to JTA

(see para 4.11, 4.12 of Minutes Part I):

(i) The nodal agency, the DOPT, by its clarification dated 1-12-2011 (photocopy enclosed) has stated that ---

'posts are to be filled (by promotion) as per eligibility conditions prescribed in the recruitment rules in force at the time of occurrence of the vacancies unless the amended RRs are brought into force with retrospective effect.'

(ii) The amended RRs of JTA are applicable from the date of notification, that is from 25-7-2011. Therefore, for JTA vacancies upto 24-7-2011, the old RRs shall apply (in which for promotion from UDC to JTA, it is 8 years qualifying service). For vacancies from 25-7-2011 onwards, the new RRs shall apply (in which for promotion from UDC to JTA, it is 10 years qualifying service). RD Chennai is therefore requested to screen all vacancies in JTA, considered in the said DPC, on the basis of above clarification from DOPT and re-forward the promotion proposal for acceptance by the Ministry.

### (e) Regular promotion from LDC to UDC

Ministry is not concerned as promotion is in Group C post of UDC.

## (f) Regular promotion from MTS to LDC

Ministry is not concerned as promotion is in Group C post of LDC.

## (g) Adhoc promotion from UDC to JTA

Same comments as in Para 3(d) above.

# (h) ACP benefit to 1 officer in the Pay Scale of 2610-4000 w.e.f. 01.05.2002

Ministry is not concerned as ACP benefit is in erstwhile Group C/D pay scales.

# (i) MACP benefit to 15 officers in Group B and C Grade Pay

(see para 8,9 and 12 and Annexure B of Minutes Part III):

Ministry is not concerned with MACPS benefits in Group C Grade Pay. However, for MACPS in Group B Grade Pay, the following decision is communicated:

Bo

FTS-24297/2011

Page 4 of 5

SL.	Name (Sh/Smt/Kum)	DPC	Decision of competent
1	SV Chandramouliswaran Steno Gr. H	Recommendations  2 <sup>nd</sup> MACP in PB 2 + GP 4600 w.e.f. 20.03.2012	authority The complete data regarding dates of joining in various promotions availed (after last DR entry) by these officers is not available in Minutes.
2	A. Latha, JTA	3 <sup>rd</sup> MACP in PB 2 + GP 4600 w.e.f. 15.04.2012	
3	PC Mathew, Steno. Gr. I	3 <sup>rd</sup> MACP in PB 2 + GP 4600 w.e.f. 09.12.2011	The difference between ACP and MACP is that in ACP benefit, the pay scale of next
4	J. Velpari, JTA	3 <sup>rd</sup> MACP in PB 2 + GP 4600 w.e.f. 07.12.2011	stage in MCA hierarchy is given. In MACPS, the benefit of next stage in Central Government hierarchy is given, even if there is no such stage in the MCA hierarchy.
			No approval is possible, as these facts are not verifiable from the Minutes. Therefore, remaining facts may be sent to the Ministry, in the same format, in which recently information (related to Group 'A' MACPS) has been called.
5	A H Nethravathi, JTA	1 <sup>st</sup> MACP in PB 2 + GP 4600 w.e.f. 14.01.2012	MACPS is approved, as no other fact remains to be verified.
-			

Enchosed - DOPT charfurtrons of 01-12-11)

(R K Pandey)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Telefax: 23383507

#### 1. RD Chennai

2. To remaining RDs also in view of clarification from DOPT and with a request to send all the facts of various career progression, dates of joining, etc. to enable approval in ACPAMACPS.

8. E.Gov. Cell --- for loading in Employees Corner (Promotions)

Department of lessons, el + Trag Ketesence islates as pochale As per au quidlines the post. (as distrant processions in the only in face of the poor of commence The lacouries intess the general Recognitor ent Rules care borought in to force with metors pectine expect. The Depth of Cosposate Appaiss action accordingly Angel South Director/(E.I) SU (KR) This is hy of Contract of Mains / Smith for eley, the de Seconder Continue of the land of the la THE AT GOOD OF HOUR y NE 550.78/ CR/11 11/2 2/12/2011 May kindly see at doer stage. USCAKOD -