

Court of Sh. G. N. Pandey ACMM Delhi

108

5225/10  
RR

2583/08  
23/03/10



IN THE COURT OF LD. ACMM, TIS HAZARI, DELHI.  
COMPANY CASE NO. OF 2004

THE REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES  
NCT OF DELHI & HARYANA  
2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR, PARYAVARAN BHAWAN,  
B-BLOCK, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD  
NEW DELHI. Vs.


.....COMPLAINANT

- Complaint [
1. M/s. Quasar India Ltd., 419, International Trade Tower, Nehru Place, New Delhi-110019.
  2. Shri Rakesh Paliwal, 515, Mukampura, Subzi Mandi, Delhi-07.
  3. Shri Debendra Kumar Parida, A-46, Harbour Niwas, Madanpur Khader, New Delhi-110044.
  4. Shri Premendra Paliwal, C-40, Okhla Industrial Area, Phase-II, New Delhi-20.

.....ACCUSED

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 162/220 READ WITH SECTION 220(3) OF  
THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956.

The complainant, Registrar of Companies, NCT of Delhi & Haryana sheweth  
as under :-





CC No.2582-2583/03  
ROC VS M/S QUASAR INDIA  
COMMON ORDER

04.2010

File received by way of transfer in pursuance of Order no. 17/DHC/Gaz.3/VI.3.2(a)/2009 passed by the Hon'ble High Court. It be checked and registered.

Present: Company Prosecutor Sh. Lalji Tripathi, for the complainant.


A1 company and A2 Rakesh Paliwal already convicted vide order dated 11.10.07.

A3 Debendra Kumar Parida is absent. His Process Server HC Suresh Chand is present and his statement recorded separately today. Accused no. 3 Debendra Kumar Parida is declared proclaimed offender separately today.

A4 Premendra Paliwal is absent. His process U/s 82 Cr. P.C not executed. Issue his fresh process U/s 82 Cr. P.C. Meanwhile the complainant to supply fresh address of accused, if any, otherwise the complaint would be dismissed on non prosecution.

Put up on 01.07.2010.

DIG VINAY SINGH  
ACMM(SPL. ACTS), CENTRAL  
DELHI/26.04.2010





26.04.2010

STATEMENT OF HC SURESH CHAND, NO. 487, SOUTH EAST, PS-SARITA  
VIHAR, NEW DELHI.

DN SA

I received the process U/s82 Cr. P.C against the accused DABENDRA K. PARIDA, for its execution. On 27.12.2008, I affixed the said process copy at the court notice board of Tis Hazari Court Complex and on that very day I went to the address of the accused i.e A-46, HARBOUR NIWAS, MADANPUR KHADAR, NEW DELHI-110044. I enquired about the accused but came to know that the accused was not residing at the given address and he had shifted without fresh address. Fresh address of the accused could not be known despite efforts. Therefore, I affixed one copy of the process u/s82 Cr. P.C at the door of the said house. My report in this regard which is in my hand writing duly signed by me is EX.CW2/A. My report is correct.

RO & AC

*(S. L. Chandra)*  
*HC Suresh Chand*

*(Signature)*  
DIG VINAY SINGH  
ACMM(SPL. ACTS)/CENTRAL  
DELHI/26.04.2010

ORDER

In view of the above said statement of the Process Server on oath and, in view of the Ex.CW2/A and on being satisfied that the proclamation process of accused DABENDRA K. PARIDA was duly executed on his last known address., I am satisfied that the process u/s 82 Cr. P.C is duly executed.

The accused was given time i.e 29.01.2009 to appear but the accused has failed to appear till date. Accordingly, I am satisfied that the accused is deliberately absconding and concealing his presence. The accused DABENDRA K. PARIDA is declared proclaimed offender.

*(Signature)*  
DIG VINAY SINGH  
ACMM(SPL. ACTS)/CENTRAL/DELHI/26.04.2010

